ച
크
7

	å	
	\$	
	Ŧ	
	\$	
	\$	
	Ī	
	土	
	串	
	\$	
•	\$	
	吏	

100				enry Broadzel
			Complete if Known	<u></u>
RENEWED Petition Under 37 CFR		Application Number	09/840,230	
for Dov	1.137(b)	Filing Date	4/24/2001	
for Revival of an Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally		First Named Inventor	Stuart Gerald Stubblebine	
Address to:	MS: Petition Office of Petitions MAR 3 0 2006	Examiner Name	O. Akpati	
		Group/Art Unit	2131	
	Commissioner for atents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313	Attorney Docket ID	Stubblebine 109755con-1	
Should the appro	priate fee(s) not be submitted, the Commission	ner is Authorized to chara	e Denosit Account No 500732 of Honny T. I	Brandzal

Applicant hereby petitions for revival of the above-identified application.  1. Entity Status:							
	Applicant claims si	nall entity status					
2.	Request to Enter  ⊠ Request is hereby made to enter <b>Amendment filed on November 14, 2005</b>						
3.	<ul> <li>Papers included with Petition:</li> <li>Application initiation form (Continuation under 37CFR 1.53(b), or RCE under 37CFR1.114)</li> <li>The following papers:</li> <li>Statutory (Terminal) Disclaimer</li> <li>Issue fee Form</li> <li>Proof the papers had been submitted on</li> </ul>						
4.	Fees included:  ☐ Petition Fee, in the ☐ Issue fee ☐ Statutory (Termina ☐ Application initiatio ☐ Proof the Fee had	) Disclaimer n as specified in form referred to above.					
5. Statement: The entire delay in filing the required reply, from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), was unintentional.							
6. Additional Statement (if needed): The previously filed Petition to Revive was dismissed even though, in Applicant's view it should have been granted because the Amendment enclosed with the Petition was fully responsive. It was fully responsive because it demonstrated to the Examiner that the previously filed Final Office Action was in error (as the Examiner told Applicant's representative in a telephone conversation of 2/14/2006). To expedite prosecution, however, this Renewed Petition is submitted, and it is understood that no additional fee is necessary. However, if as indicated above, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the above-identified account, if necessary.							
		SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	·				
Fire	n or Individual Name	Henry T. Brendzel	Reg No.: 26,844				
	Signature	Hey Brenze	Date , 3/28/06				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being							
deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelop addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231, mail stop as shown above, on the date shown below.							
Transmitted by facsimile to the USPTO on the date shown below.							
HANRY BRENDZER #1001 3/25/06							
_	Name of Person Signing	Signature	Ďate /				



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER, FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

MR. S. H. DWORETSKY AT&T CORP P O BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748

**COPY MAILED** 

FEB 0 3 2006

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

In re Application of Stuart Gerald Stubblebine Application No. 09/840,230 Filed: April 24, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 2455-4230US3

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 14, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)<sup>1</sup> must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply,<sup>2</sup> unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D). The instant petition lacks item 1.

It is noted that an amendment, in response to the final Office action mailed June 17, 2004, was included with the petition filed on November 14, 2005. However, the amendment is considered non-responsive, since it does not place the application in condition for allowance. See the attached copy of an Advisory Action. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive this application must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b)), an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As amended effective December 1, 1997. <u>See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure</u>; Final Rule Notice, 62 <u>Fed. Reg.</u> 53131, 53194-95 (October 10, 1997), 1203 <u>Off. Gaz. Pat. Office</u> 63, 119-20 (October 21, 1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, or the filing of a submission under 37 CFR 114 (RCE) or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2).

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITION

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop PETITION

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

The centralized facsimile number is (571) 273-8300.

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

Attachment: Advisory Action

cc:

HENRY BRENDZEL

PO BOX 574

SPRINGFIELD, NJ 07081